



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

A

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,313	12/18/2001	Kazuhisa Fujimoto	HITA.0140	8375
24956	7590	09/23/2005	EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE	
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 370			2161	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/020,313	FUJIMOTO, KAZUHISA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Etienne P LeRoux	2161

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Etienne P LeRoux.

(3) Shrinthi Malur

(2) Safet Metelasic

(4) Mika Maeda

Date of Interview: 9/9/5

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: N/A

Claim(s) discussed: 35-59

Identification of prior art discussed: Yamamoto, Kazar, Amundsen, Aasland, Abrahams

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicants argued that the prior art applied fails to disclose the claimed provision of a single port that receives both block and file I/O requests, having different port numbers for the two types of requests. Secondly, the applicant proposes to further clarify this point through amendment that specifies the controller performs different operations based upon the port number. The examiners agree the applied prior art fails to disclose the contested provision - individually or in combinations.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/020,313	12/18/2001	Fujimoto	HITA,0140
EXAMINER			
Etienne LeRoux			
ART UNIT	PAPER		
2161	9/19/2005		

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Please see attached Interview Summary